

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,590	10/29/2001	Ilan Sutskover	844.430US1	4168
21186	7590 06/27/2005		EXAM	INER
SCHWEGM. P.O. BOX 293	AN, LUNDBERG, W	PHU, PHUONG M		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2631	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	v.	u <b>k</b>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurrence	10/046,590	SUTSKOVER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Phuong Phu	2631		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on general files</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncertainty.</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  owance except for formal mat	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14-18 and 20-30</u> is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,13,19,31 and 32</u> is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.  ted.  ed to.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum				
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage		

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/25/02, 12/1/03.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/046,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it has less than 50 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11, 14-18 and 20-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Forney, Jr. (4,933,956) in view of Sklar, "Digital Communications Fundamentals and Applications", published by Prentice Hall PTR, year 2000.
- -Regarding to claims 1, 14, see figures 24 and 25 and col. 25, line 64 to col. 26, line 68, Forney, Jr discloses a method and associated system comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/046,590

Art Unit: 2631

step/means (505) of performing iterative decoding on encoded input signal (r) to estimate a codeword (X<sub>1</sub>, ..., X<sub>n</sub>) associated with the encoded input signal, said codeword being within a base cell of an underlying lattice (see col. 25, line 64 to col. 26, lines 46-68), and

step/means (507) of determining a cell translation (X<sub>-n+1</sub>) associated with said encoded input signal based on said codeword.

Forney, Jr does not disclose determining prior probabilities associated with the encoded input signal and performing the iterative decoding on said encoded input signal, using said prior probabilities, to estimate the codeword, as claimed.

Forney, Jr discloses that said iterative decoding can be implemented with a maximum likelihood decoding technique (see col. 26, lines 52-56).

Sklar teaches a maximum likelihood decoding technique which performs determining prior probabilities (e.g.,  $P(Z|U^{(m')})$ ,  $p(z|s_1)$ ,  $p(z|s_2)$ ) associated with an encoded input signal (Z); performing iterative decoding on said encoded input signal, using said prior probabilities, to estimate a codeword (e.g.,  $s_1$  or  $s_2$ ) associated with said encoded input signal (see page 395, lines 3-20).

Since Forney, Jr does not teach in detail how the maximum likelihood decoding technique is implemented, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Forney, Jr in such a way that step/means (505) would determine prior probabilities associated with the encoded input signal and perform the iterative decoding on said encoded input signal, using said prior probabilities, to estimate the codeword, as taught by Sklar so that, such the implementation would enable the maximum likelihood decoding technique to be applied in Forney, Jr invention in view of Sklar.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,590

Art Unit: 2631

-Regarding to claims 2, 15, Forney, Jr discloses step/means (509) of mapping said codeword to an

appropriate cell of said underlying lattice using said cell translation (see figure 25).

- -Regarding to claims 3, 16, Forney, Jr discloses that said encoded input signal is coded with a multilevel code (N-tuple) (see col. 25, line 66 to col. 26, line 12).
- -Regarding to claims 4, 17, Forney, Jr discloses that said encoded input signal is coded with a lattice code (see col. 25, line 66 to col. 26, line 12).
- -Regarding to claim 5, Forney, Jr discloses that said encoded input signal is coded with a code having at least one constituent code  $(X_1, ..., X_n)$  (see col. 26, lines 46-68). And further, as applied for claim 1, in Forney, Jr invention in view of Sklar, step/means (505) inherently should determine prior probabilities includes determining a probability that a first coordinate  $(X_1)$  of the constituent code based on said encoded input signal.
- -Regarding to claim 6, Forney, Jr in view of Sklar discloses that said encoded input signal has been modified by an interferer (CHANNEL) (see Forney, Jr,, figure 13); and determining prior probabilities includes determining probabilities based upon statistics associated with said interferer (channel with Gaussian distribution) (see Sklar, page 395).
- -Regarding to claims 7, 22, Forney, Jr in view Sklar teaches that said statistics associated with said interferer are known (see Sklar, page 395).
- -Regarding to claims 8, 23, Forney, Jr in view Sklar teaches that determining prior probabilities includes assuming statistics for said interferer for use in determining said probabilities (see Sklar, page 395).

Application/Control Number: 10/046,590

Art Unit: 2631

-Regarding to claims 9, 24, as applied to claim 1, in Forney, Jr in view Sklar, said would be assumed to be (Gaussian) uniformly distributed within a cell of an integer lattice. (see Forney, Jr, col. 25 line 66 to col. 26, line 5, and Sklar, page 395).

-Regarding to claims 10, 25, Forney, Jr discloses that said cell is a ball (N-tuple space) (see Forney, Jr, col. 25 line 66 to col. 26, line 5, and also for an clarification of a N-tuple space being a ball,, see Sklar, pages 347-348).

-Regarding to claims 11, 18, 20, Forney, Jr in view of Sklar teaches that wherein performing iterative decoding includes (see Forney, Jr, figures 24 and 25):

step/means (505) performing a first decoding iteration, using said prior probabilities, to generate first information  $(X_1)$ ; and

step/means (505) performing a second decoding iteration, using said first information, to generate second information  $(X_2)$ .

-Regarding to claim 21, Forney, Jr discloses that said iterative decoding is a soft-decision (i.e., soft-in and soft out) decoder (505) (see figure 25).

-Regarding to claim 26, Forney, Jr in view of Sklar teaches that said interferer has a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and unknown variance (see Sklar, page 395).

- -Claim 27 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 1 and 14.
- -Claim 28 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 2 and 15.
- -Claim 29 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 3 and 16.
- -Claim 30 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 4 and 17.

Art Unit: 2631

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 12, 13, 31 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

Phung Phy

Phuong Phu 06/23/05

PANARY EXAMINER